

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/874,125	06/06/2001	Seiji Ohshima	009683-376	7886
7590 06/10/2005			EXAMINER	
Platon N. Mandros			LEE, TOMMY D	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.				
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			2624	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/874,125	OHSHIMA, SEIJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas D. Lee	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 February 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
,						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,14 and 15-17 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 8 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 9-13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/874,125 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is responsive to applicant's response filed February 11, 2005. Claims 1-17 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 1-6 of applicant's response, filed February 11, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 8 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), and claims 1, 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent 6,625,327 (Ohshima et al.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohshima et al.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art

Application/Control Number: 09/874,125

Art Unit: 2624

under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claim 8, Ohshima et al. disclose an image processing apparatus, comprising: an input unit successively inputting a first image signal representing density level of each pixel (input 101 (Fig. 31)); a thresholding unit generating a second image signal by comparing the first image signal input from said input unit with a threshold value (thresholding 103); and a calculating unit calculating, based on said first image signal, said second image signal and the threshold value used for generating said second image signal, a threshold value to be used for thresholding a succeeding pixel (corrected threshold value 111 calculated based on first image signal (ß calculation unit 611 receives input signal), second image signal (inversion of second image signal fed to subtracting unit 115) and threshold value used to generate second image signal (corrected threshold value 111 for previous pixel also fed to subtracting unit, outputs of subtracting unit 115 and previously-mentioned ß calculation unit 611 fed to coefficient calculating unit 117; corrected threshold value for subsequent pixel determined based on output of coefficient calculating unit via correction value memory 119 and subtracting unit 109)).

Claim 14 is a method claim corresponding to above-rejected apparatus claim 8. The method steps are performed by the apparatus disclosed in Ohshima et al., as set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 09/874,125 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-7 and 15-17 are allowed.

6. Claims 9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: No prior art has been found to disclose or suggest the combined calculating and changing units as recited in base claims 1 and 7, or corresponding method steps as recited in base claim 6, or the combined thresholding and calculating units as recited in base claim 15, or corresponding method steps as recited in base claim 14, or a calculating means calculating the threshold value using difference between said second image signal and the threshold value used for generating said second image and difference between said first image signal and said second image signal, as parameters, as recited in claims 9-11, or first and second multiplying units multiplying the first image signal input to said thresholding and calculating units by prescribed first and second coefficients, respectively, as recited in claims 12 and 13.

Conclusion

8. In view of new grounds for rejection not necessitated by amendment, this Office action is non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas D. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas D. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2624

tdl June 2, 2005